Document made available under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT)

International application number: PCT/AU05/000013

International filing date:

07 January 2005 (07.01.2005)

Document type:

Certified copy of priority document

Document details:

Country/Office: AU

Number:

2004900432

Filing date:

08 January 2004 (08.01.2004)

Date of receipt at the International Bureau: 25 January 2005 (25.01.2005)

Remark: Priority document submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau in

compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b)





Patent Office Canberra

I, LEANNE MYNOTT, MANAGER EXAMINATION SUPPORT AND SALES hereby certify that annexed is a true copy of the Provisional specification in connection with Application No. 2004900432 for a patent by DAVID MARK ALLISON as filed on 08 January 2004.



WITNESS my hand this Seventeenth day of January 2005

LEANNE MYNOTT

MANAGER EXAMINATION SUPPORT

AND SALES

Background of Invention

There are several methods of fixing bone pieces together; most commonly, these are fractured or severed pieces of bone, but not exclusively. Another set of circumstances where bone fragments are fixed together is in performing arthrodeses or joint fusions. In almost all cases of bone connections under consideration it is essential for the cut or fractured bone surfaces to be held together in close mutual confrontation. This intimacy of contact is usually referred to as compression. The need is for the bone surfaces to be held in well fitted contact and to be so held during bone healing or union. In practice the simplest way of ensuring this contact is, where practicable, to apply a compressive loading to the bone portions at a direction substantially normal to the severed bone faces.

Compressive loading, as described, can be achieved in several ways which will be outlined below.

The first method to consider is bone screws. There are two types: those with heads and those without heads. Those with heads apply the compressive force directly to the bony cortex (or surface) of one of the pieces of bone to held together. Those without heads have threads at the leading and trailing edge separated by a shank devoid of thread. The threads of the leading and trailing ends have like handedness but different pitch so as to apply compressive force to the bone faces as the screw is tightened.

The next group of devices to consider are bone plates. These are in various configurations but most commonly longer in one dimension than the other, with a series of holes in a single row along the longest dimension. The long axis of the plates are applied normal (or as close to normal as practicable) to the surfaces which are to held together. They are held in place by bone screws with heads which are screwed into the bone through the holes in the plate. If the plate holes are elongated in the line of the long axis of the plate and screws are placed eccentrically away from the bone surface to be joined then on tightening of the screws there will be compression of the bone surfaces.

Various types of staples are also used to fix severed bone pieces together. Some of these apply compression by virtue of their conformation. Some can be tightened in various ways so as to bring about compression of the bone surfaces.

These methods alluded to above are not always able to be used effectively. For example, it may not be possible to insert a screw in an appropriate direction in practice because of the anatomical location of the pieces of bone to be fixed. In a similar way it may not be possible to use a bone plate because of size constraints or reasons of access. Staples are difficult to use in that they are often too large and can split the bone. The vertical elements can also pull out of the bone so that the fixation is not stable.

Because of these difficulties it is often necessary to use devices called Kirschner wires or pins. These are simple sharpened pins or thin rods that are drilled across the bone pieces. They cannot cause compression and sometime may even cause the bone fragments to be held apart leading to delay or absence of bone healing.

The object of this invention is to overcome or ameliorate the shortcomings of the devices alluded to above in a simple but effective manner. An embodiment of the invention consists of:

- a) two annuluses or rings of suitable material, typically, but not confined, to metal
- b) two bars or rods of appropriate length and thickness connecting the two annuluses together so that they are parallel to each other and in the same plane as the annuluses
- c) the size and shape of each annulus is such that it accepts the head of a screw such as a bone screw.

An example of the invention is shown in the accompanying drawing. All drawings are of oversized scale.

Figure 1) is a plan elevation of the device.

Figure 2) is a side elevation of the device.


Figure.3) shows that by moving the connecting bars or rods (2) apart the annuluses (1) are drawn together. A similar situation would apply if the bars or rods were moved together.


Figure 4) shows one application of the invention so that if the device is place either side of two bone surfaces (3) to be fixed together and held in place by suitable screws (4) then by opening (or closing) the parallel elements (5) of the device the bone surfaces will be drawn together so that compression of the bone faces occurs. If the device was made of suitable material, once the bars or rods have been deformed as described, then the position will be maintained and the bone surfaces will remain compressed together.


The device is shown as having two annuluses or rings connected by two rods or bars but could also have more than two annuluses and the connecting rods or bars could be in other configurations than as shown and not necessarily be parallel.

The device therefore combines the advantages of both plates and staples. It has the compactness possible with staples but is solidly fixed to the bone by screws as are plates . Furthermore it is able to impart compression onto the bone faces keeping them in intimate contact. The device could be manufactured in a variety of sizes to suit different sizes of bone pieces.

Whilst described here for the fixation of bone pieces the use of the device is not confined to that purpose and could be utilised to fix together other material in situations not related to bone fixation.







From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING SUBMISSION OR TRANSMITTAL OF PRIORITY DOCUMENT

(PCT Administrative Instructions, Section 411)

To

GRIFFITH HACK Level 6 256 Adelaide Terrace Perth, Western Australia 6000 AUSTRALIE

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 21 February 2005 (21.02.2005)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference FP20940-RJW	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION	
International application No. PCT/AU05/000013	International filing date (day/month/year) 07 January 2005 (07.01.2005)	
International publication date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 08 January 2004 (08.01.2004)	
Applicant ALLISON, David, Mark		

- 1. By means of this Form, which replaces any previously issued notification concerning submission or transmittal of priority documents, the applicant is hereby notified of the date of receipt by the International Bureau of the priority document(s) relating to all earlier application(s) whose priority is claimed. Unless otherwise indicated by the letters "NR", in the right-hand column or by an asterisk appearing next to a date of receipt, the priority document concerned was submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b).
- 2. (If applicable) The letters "NR" appearing in the right-hand column denote a priority document which, on the date of mailing of this Form, had not yet been received by the International Bureau under Rule 17.1(a) or (b). Where, under Rule 17.1(a), the priority document must be submitted by the applicant to the receiving Office or the International Bureau, but the applicant fails to submit the priority document within the applicable time limit under that Rule, the attention of the applicant is directed to Rule 17.1(c) which provides that no designated Office may disregard the priority claim concerned before giving the applicant an opportunity, upon entry into the national phase, to furnish the priority document within a time limit which is reasonable under the circumstances.
- 3. (If applicable) An asterisk (*) appearing next to a date of receipt, in the right-hand column, denotes a priority document submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau but not in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b) (the priority document was received after the time limit prescribed in Rule 17.1(a) or the request to prepare and transmit the priority document was submitted to the receiving Office after the applicable time limit under Rule 17.1(b)). Even though the priority document was not furnished in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b), the International Bureau will nevertheless transmit a copy of the document to the designated Offices, for their consideration. In case such a copy is not accepted by the designated Office as the priority document, Rule 17.1(c) provides that no designated Office may disregard the priority claim concerned before giving the applicant an opportunity, upon entry into the national phase, to furnish the priority document within a time limit which is reasonable under the circumstances.

Priority_date	Priority application No.	Country or regional Office or PCT receiving Office	Date of receipt of priority document
08 January 2004 (08.01.2004)	2004900432	AU	25 January 2005 (25.01.2005)
03 February 2004 (03.02.2004)	2004900460	AU	25 January 2005 (25.01.2005)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Covino Maurice
	Facsimile No. +41 22 338 87 40
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Telephone No. +41 22 338 8455

Form PCT/IB/304 (January 2004)